## **Correction Factors for Combustible Gas (LEL) Sensors**

#### **LEL Correction Factors**

RAE Systems LEL sensors (including LEL-only sensor and LEL/TC dual-range sensor) can be used for the detection of a wide variety of combustible gases and vapors that exhibit different responses. Because LEL sensors use a diffusion barrier to limit the gas flux to the catalytic bead, they tend to have the greatest sensitivity to high-diffusivity compounds. Therefore, they are substantially more sensitive to small molecules like hydrogen and methane than to heavy components like kerosene. The best way to calibrate any sensor to different compounds is to use a standard of the gas of interest. However, Correction Factors (CFs) have been determined that enable the user to quantify a large number of chemicals using only a single calibration gas, typically methane or pentane. In our LEL sensors, Correction Factors can be used in one of three ways:

- 1. Calibrate the unit with methane in the usual fashion to read in methane %LEL equivalents. Manually multiply the reading by the Correction Factor (CF) to obtain the %LEL of the gas being measured.
- 2. Calibrate the unit with methane and then call up the Correction Factor from the instrument memory. The unit then reads directly in %LEL of the gas of interest.
- 3. Calibrate the unit with methane, but input an equivalent, "corrected" span gas concentration when prompted for this value. For example, to read in isopropanol LEL units, apply 20% LEL methane but enter  $20 \times 2.6 = 52$  for the span gas concentration.

### **Oxygen Requirement and Matrix Effects**

LEL sensors require oxygen for combustion and cannot be used in environments that contain less than 10 vol% oxygen. This threshold is the safe limit for up to 100% LEL of nearly all chemicals, but it depends on the combustible gas concentration. For example, for 10% LEL methane, RAE Systems LEL sensors show little or no oxygen dependence down to about 5 vol% oxygen. Inserting an LEL sensor from air into pure nitrogen can cause a transient response that decays after several minutes to the background reading. This is because the reference bead takes time to equilibrate with the slightly lower thermal conductivity of the nitrogen. Likewise, other inert matrix gases may cause a transient response.

Humidity and temperature generally have little effect on the sensor response. Increasing temperature increases the response by less than 6% between 0° and 40° C. Increasing RH (relative humidity) decreases the response by 8% between 5% and 95% RH. Some LEL sensor-instrument combinations have a small humidity response and may read a few % LEL in air at 50% RH if zeroed with dry air.

### Methane Sensitivity Changes

The Correction Factors in this table apply to new sensors. As a sensor becomes used and gradually loses sensitivity, the response to methane may decrease more rapidly than for higher hydrocarbons. In this case, the Correction Factors gradually decrease, and calibration with methane tends to overestimate the %LEL of the other gas. Therefore, methane calibration is the safest approach. RAE Systems LEL sensors do not exhibit changes in Correction Factors in laboratory tests, but may do so under special-use conditions. Calibrating with other organic vapors such as propane or pentane is a good way to avoid Correction Factor changes. The only drawback to this approach is that it is possible to miss methane while still measuring the higher hydrocarbons. If methane is known to be absent under all circumstances, the use of propane or pentane calibration is appropriate.

### **Correction Factors when Calibrating with Non-methane Compounds**

To obtain Correction Factors for other span gases, simply divide the value on the methane scale in the table by the methane value for the span compound. For example, to obtain CFs on the n-pentane scale, divide all the numbers in the table by 2.2. Thus, when calibrating with n-pentane the new CF for acetylene is 2.8/2.2 = 1.3, and the new CF for ammonia is 0.8/2.2 = 0.4. Note that this calculation is done internally in RAE Systems instruments that have separately selectable span and measurement gases.



# Technical Note TN-156

Revised 2/2008

Therefore, in these cases, simply enter the span and measurement compounds (without changing the CFs), and the unit automatically calculates and applies the new factor.

Chemical	100% LEL	LEL CF*	Ethyl pentane Ethylene oxide
Acetaldehyde	( <b>Vol%</b> ) 4.0	1.8	Gasoline,
Acetic acid	4.0	3.4	Heptane, n-
Acetic Anhydride	2.7	2.0	Hexadiene, 1,4-
Acetone	2.5	2.0	Hexane, n-
Acetylene	2.5	2.2	Hydrazine
Allyl Alcohol	2.5	2.8 1.7	Hydrogen
Ammonia	15.0	0.8	Hydrogen cyanide
Aniline	1.3	3.0	Hydrogen sulfide
Benzene	1.5	2.2	Isobutene (Isobutylei
Butadiene, 1,3-	2.0	2.2	Isopropanol
		2.0	Jet fuel JP-4, -5, -8
Butane, n-	1.9		Methane
Butane, i-	1.8	1.8	Methanol
Butanol, n-	1.4	3.0	Methyl acetate
Butanol, i-	1.7	2.5	•
Butanol, t-	2.4	1.8	Methylamine Mathellamine
Butene-1	1.6	2.1	Methyl bromide
Butene-2, cis	1.7	2.1	Methyl chloride
Butene-2, trans	1.8	1.9	Methylcyclohexane
Butyric acid	2.0	2.4	Methyl ether
Carbon disulfide	1.3	**	Methyl ethyl ketone
Carbon monoxide	12.5	1.2	Methyl formate
Carbonyl sulfide	12.0	1.0	Methyl hexane
Chlorobenzene	1.3	3.0	Methyl mercaptan
Chloropropane, 1-	2.6	1.8	Methylpentane
Cyanogen	6.6	1.1	Methyl propionate
Cyclohexane	1.3	2.5	Methyl n-propyl keto
Cyclopropane	2.4	1.5	(2-pentanone)
Decane, n-	0.8	3.4	Naphthalene
Dichloroethane, 1,2-	6.2	1.5	Nitromethane
Dichloromethane	13.0	1.0	Nonane, n-
Dimethylbutane	1.2	2.7	Octane, n-
Dimethylpentane, 2,3-	1.1	2.3	Pentane, n-
Dimethyl sulfide	2.2	2.3	Pentane, i-
Dioxane, 1,4-	2.0	2.5	Pentane, Neo-
Ethane	3.0	1.4	Pentene, 1-
Ethanol	3.3	1.7	Phosphine
Ethene	2.7	1.4	Propane
Ethyl acetate	2.0	2.2	Propanol, n-
Ethylamine	3.5	1.4	Propene
Ethyl benzene	0.8	2.8	Pentane, n-
Ethyl bromide	6.8	0.9	Pentane, i-
Ethyl chloride	3.8	1.7	Pentane, Neo-
Ethyl ether	1.9	2.3	Pentene, 1-
Ethyl formate	2.8	2.4	Phosphine
	0		

Chemical	100% LEL (Vol%)	LEL CF*
Ethyl mercaptan	2.8	1.8
Ethyl methyl ether	2.0	2.3
Ethyl pentane	1.2	2.4
Ethylene oxide	3.0	2.3
Gasoline,	1.3	2.1
Heptane, n-	1.1	2.4
Hexadiene, 1,4-	2.0	1.5
Hexane, n-	1.1	2.3
Hydrazine	2.9	2.1
Hydrogen	4.0	1.1
Hydrogen cyanide	5.6	2.0
Hydrogen sulfide	4.0	**
Isobutene (Isobutylene)	1.8	1.5
Isopropanol	2.0	2.6
Jet fuel JP-4, -5, -8	0.6***	***
Methane	5.0	1.0
Methanol	6.0	1.5
Methyl acetate	3.1	2.2
Methylamine	4.9	1.3
Methyl bromide	10.0	1.1
Methyl chloride	8.1	1.3
Methylcyclohexane	1.2	2.6
Methyl ether	3.4	1.7
Methyl ethyl ketone	1.4	2.6
Methyl formate	4.5	1.9
Methyl hexane	1.2	2.4
Methyl mercaptan	3.9	1.6
Methylpentane	1.2	2.7
Methyl propionate	2.5	2.1
Methyl n-propyl ketone	1.5	2.7
(2-pentanone)		
Naphthalene	0.9	2.9
Nitromethane	7.3	2.1
Nonane, n-	0.8	3.2
Octane, n-	1.0	2.9
Pentane, n-	1.5	2.2
Pentane, i-	1.4	2.3
Pentane, Neo-	1.4	2.5
Pentene, 1-	1.5	2.3
Phosphine	1.6	0.3
Propane	2.1	1.6
Propanol, n-	2.2	2.0
Propene	2.0	1.5
Pentane, n-	1.5	2.2
Pentane, i-	1.4	2.3
Pentane, Neo-	1.4	2.5
Pentene, 1-	1.5	2.3
Phosphine	1.6	0.3



Chemical	100% LEL (Vol%)	LEL CF*
Propane	2.1	1.6
Propanol, n-	2.2	2.0
Propene	2.0	1.5
Propylamine, n-	2.0	2.1
Propylene oxide	2.3	2.6
Propyl ether, iso-	1.4	2.3
Propyne	1.7	2.3
Toluene	1.1	2.6
Triethylamine	1.2	2.5
Trimethylamine	2.0	1.9
Trimethylbutane	1.2	2.3
Turpentine	0.8	2.9
Vinyl chloride	3.6	1.8
Xylene, m-	1.1	2.7
Xylene, o-	0.9	3.0
Xylene, p-	1.1	2.8

\* Values in italics are calculated from diffusion properties; values in normal type are confirmed with RAE Systems sensors.

\*\* **Caution!** On LEL/TC sensors (3R/TC & 4R/TC) CS<sub>2</sub> may cause a large baseline shift and sensitivity loss; for LEL-only sensors (4R), an approximate CF of  $3\pm 2$  can be used. H<sub>2</sub>S may cause a large baseline shift and sensitivity loss on LEL and TC/LEL sensors. Therefore, attempts to measure the LEL of H<sub>2</sub>S should be made as quickly as possible and may not be reliable.

\*\*\* Reported values of the lower explosive limit for jet fuels range from about 0.3% to 0.9% by volume. An earlier third-party test reported a CF for jet fuels of 3.35. However, we have been unable to confirm this result and recommend using a PID as a much more accurate method of measuring LEL for jet and diesel fuels.

